BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
3 1761 03342 6941

EVIDENCE

VOLUME 1

Alan Mewett
Hamish Stewart

Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

1998-99

These materials are distributed solely for classroom use by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto

Storage

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY

AUG 17 1998

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

EVIDENCE

VOLUME 1

Alan Mewett
Hamish Stewart

Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

1998-99

These materials are distributed solely for classroom use by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

https://archive.org/details/evidence01mewe_1

Table of Contents

1. INTRODUCTION 1

A Note on the Materials 1

1(a) Relevancy, Probative Value, and Prejudice 2

Cloutier v. The Queen 2
Morris v. The Queen 6
R. v. Seaboyer 14

1(b) Burdens of Proof 54

1(b)(i) Standard for a Directed Verdict of Acquittal 54
R. v. Monteleone 54
1(b)(ii) Standard for Putting a Defence in Issue 55
Pappajohn v. R. 55
1(b)(iii) Proof Beyond a Reasonable Doubt 64
Morin v. R. 64
R. v. MacKenzie 76
R. v. W.(D.) 88
R. v. Lifchus 94
1(b)(iv) Proof on a Balance of Probabilities 103

1(c) Appellate Review 104

R. v. Yebes 104

2. COMPETENCE, COMPELLABILITY, OATHS 106

2(a) Spousal Incompetency 106

R. v. Salituro 106
R. v. Hawkins 115
R. v. Duvivier 123

2(b) Does Competence Imply Compellability? 129

Gosselin v. The King 129
R. v. McGinty 131

2(c) Accused's Failure to Testify 144

McConnell and Beer v. The Queen 144
R. v. Noble 148

2(d) The Oath and its Substitutes 165

R. v. Bannerman 165
R. v. Walsh 168

2(e) The Unsworn Evidence of Children 175

R. v. Khan 175
R. v. Marquard 179

2(f) Refreshing and Recording Memory 190

R. v. Davey 190

3. HEARSAY **194**

3(a) Introduction 194

Subramaniam v. Public Procescutor 194
R. v. Wildman 196
Myers v. Director of Public Prosecutions 203
Ares v. Venner 210
R. v. Wysochan 214
Ratten v. The Queen 215
Wright v. Tatham 221
R. v. McKinnon 226
Problems: Hearsay or Non-hearsay? 229

3(b) Some Traditional Exceptions to the Rule Against Hearsay 232

3(b)(i) Res Gestae 232
R. v. Bedingfield 232
R. v. Clark 234
R. v. Risby 242
R. v. Keeler 244
3(b)(ii) Statements Against Interest 248
Donnelly v. United States 248
R. v. O'Brien 251
R. v. Pelletier 255
Lucier v. The Queen 257
Note on Declarations against Interest 259
3(b)(iii) Prior Judicial Proceedings 260
R. v. Potvin 260
3(b)(iv) Bodily and Mental Condition 275
3(b)(v) Statements of Intention 275
Mutual Life Insurance Company v. Hillmon 275
R. v. Wainwright 277
R. v. P. (R.) 278
3(b)(vi) Statements by Parties 288
Note: Defining Party Admissions 288
Yorkton Agricultural & Industrial Exhibition Association Ltd. v. Morley et al. .. 290

3(c) The Principled Approach 292

R. v. Khan 292
R. v. Smith 297
R. v. B.(K.G.) 307
Problems: Applying B.(K.G.) 334
R. v. Hawkins 337

4. OPINION EVIDENCE 347

4(a) Fact or Opinion? **347**

R. v. Graat 347
Problem 352

4(b) Expert Opinion: Basic Principles **353**

R. v. Mohan 353
Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., ... 356
Beland v. The Queen 364
R. v. Lavallee 383

4(c) Expert Opinion and Hearsay **403**

Wilband v. The Queen 403
R. v. Abbey 404
R. v. Lavallee 412